INTER PARTES REVIEW

<u>Duane</u> Vorris®



Duane Morris attorneys have extensive trial experience as lead counsel in litigation before state and federal courts, as well as unique trial experience within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), to help clients take advantage of, and respond to, inter partes review (IPR).

We advise clients on the merits and desirability of instituting parallel proceedings before the USPTO as well as with regard to defending their patents during these proceedings. Understanding the importance of this area, the firm has established a group focused on the specifics of IPR practice, comprised of attorneys with extensive procedural, technical and litigation experience, as well as oral advocacy skills, required to approach the specialized area with the best possible team. From early strategizing to working with experts, through declarations, depositions and cross examination, to presenting an invalidity case at a hearing in front of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), Duane Morris offers clients experience that is invaluable.

EXAMPLES OF INDUSTRIES SERVED

- ► CHEMICAL
- ► CONSUMER ELECTRONICS AND PRODUCTS
- **▶** EDUCATION
- ► ENTERTAINMENT, GAMING AND SPORTS
- ► FASHION
- ► FINANCIAL SERVICES

- ► FOOD AND HOSPITALITY
- ► LIFE SCIENCES, BIOMEDICAL, MEDICAL DEVICES AND **PHARMACEUTICAL**
- ► MECHANICAL
- ▶ PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
- ► TECHNOLOGY
- ► TELECOMMUNICATIONS

WHY IPR?

Introduced by the America Invents Act (AIA) in 2012, IPR allows a petitioner to challenge the propriety of a patent claim after it has been granted by the USPTO. This increasingly important strategy-a majority of filings at the USPTO are currently in parallel litigation—is viewed as a less costly and more expeditious method than filing a litigation proceeding in federal district court. Filing for IPR may also provide leverage in settlement negotiations.

ACCOLADES

PTAB/IPR SUCCESS



Named a 2024 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PRACTICE GROUP OF THE YEAR by Law360



Ranked the TOP FIRM representing petitioners before the Patent Trial



and Appeal Board (PTAB), according to Unified Patents' institutional success index (2018-2024).

Ranked a TOP 10 FIRM (North America - North East) by Patent Lawyer magazine from 2022-2025.





Legal 500 ranked Duane Morris as a **LEADING** LAW FIRM in Intellectual Property: Patents: Litigation.





SELECTED REPRESENTATIVE CLIENTS

- ► ARRIS
- ► AT&T
- ► Belkin
- ► Carl Zeiss
- ► Cisco
- ► Comcast
- ► Cox Communications
- ► Epsilon
- ► Fujitsu
- ▶ Leica
- ► Ruckus Wireless

- ► Siemens Communications
- ► Sony
- ► Sun Pharmaceutical
- ► Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company
- ► Tellabs
- ► Time Warner Cable
- ▶ Verizon
- ► Virgin Mobile
- ► Wockhardt
- ► Wright Medical

ABOUT THE DUANE MORRIS PTAB TEAM

- ▶ 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent litigation
- ▶ 30% of team members primarily focus their practice on patent prosecution
- ▶ 40% of team members focus their practice on a blend of patent litigation and patent prosecution

OFFICE LOCATIONS AND REACH



UNITED STATES

Atlanta

Austin New Jersey North Baltimore New Jersey South Boca Raton New York Boston Philadelphia Chicago Pittsburgh Dallas San Diego Fort Worth San Francisco Houston Silicon Valley Las Vegas Washington, D.C. Los Angeles Wilmington

Miami

INTERNATIONAL

Hanoi Shanghai Ho Chi Minh City Singapore London Sydney Myanmar

- > Also satellite offices, including Bangor and Portland, Maine; Berwyn, Pennsylvania; and Seattle, Washington
- > Alliances in Mexico and throughout Latin America
- > Leadership position with international network of independent law firms



FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

PATRICK D. MCPHERSON

Partner and Head of the Inter Partes Review 202.776.5214

pdmcpherson@duanemorris.com

This publication is for general information and does not include full legal analysis of the matters presented. It should not be construed or relied upon as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The invitation to contact the attorneys in our firm is not a solicitation to provide professional services and should not be construed as a statement as to any availability to perform legal services in any jurisdiction in which such attorney is not permitted to practice.